UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JINGYU CHEN,

Plaintiff,

**ORDER** 

-against-

19-CV-05387 (PMH)

YONG ZHAO CAI, et al.,

Defendants.

PHILIP M. HALPERN, United States District Judge:

Defendants, The Eastern US Buddha's Study (Falun Dafa) Association, Inc., Friends of Falun Gong, Inc., International Falun Dafa Association, Inc., New York Falun Dafa Association Corp., NY Metropolitan Falun Dafa Association, Inc., Falun Gong Club of Orange County, Inc., Falun Dafa Information Center, Inc., and Upstate New York Falun Dafa Association, Inc. (collectively, "Corporate Defendants"), move for reconsideration of the Court's January 12, 2021 Order. (Doc. 87; *see also* Doc. 88; Doc. 89). The January 12, 2021 Order directed, *inter alia*, that Plaintiff "streamline[] and simplif[y]" her Amended Complaint—which spanned 76 pages and almost 500 paragraphs—and file a Second Amended Complaint. (Doc. 86 ¶ 2). By operation of the January 12, 2021 Order, the Corporate Defendants' motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint was denied without prejudice. (*Id.* ¶ 4). The motion for reconsideration is unopposed.

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2), where—as here—an amendment is not permitted as of right, "a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court should freely give leave when justice so requires." Deciding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Second Amended Complaint was filed on February 2, 2021. (Doc. 93). Defendant Kim K. Cheung ("Cheung"), and Defendants Qian Y. Cai, Yong Zhao Cai, and Jian Li filed Answers to the Second Amended Complaint. (Doc. 94; Doc. 99). The Corporate Defendants have sought leave to move to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint; the Court has scheduled a pre-motion conference for April 15, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. to discuss the anticipated motion. (Doc. 107).

Case 7:19-cv-05387-PMH Document 108 Filed 04/06/21 Page 2 of 2

whether to permit a party to amend a pleading is an issue within the Court's discretion. Grace v.

Rosenstock, 228 F.3d 40, 56 (2d Cir. 2000). As the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must "be

construed, administered, and employed by the court and the parties to secure the just, speedy, and

inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding," Fed. R. Civ. P. 1, the Court concludes

that directing the filing of the Second Amended Complaint was proper in this case.

Based upon the foregoing, the motion for reconsideration is DENIED. The Clerk of the

Court is respectfully directed to terminate the motion sequence pending at Doc. 87 and to mail a

copy of this Order to Cheung at the address provided in the associated Notice of Appearance (Doc.

67). Plaintiff is also directed to mail a copy of this Order to Cheung and to file proof of such service

on the docket by April 7, 2021 at 5:00 p.m.

**SO ORDERED:** 

Dated:

White Plains, New York

April 6, 2021

PHILIP M. HALPERN

United States District Judge

2